## An overview of State Parties' proposals submitted to the Working Group on further strengthening the NPT Review Process July 2023



Problem	Proposed solutions	AT	CA	CZ	DE	EG	US	IE, NZ, CH	EU	ELN	NPDI
1. Effectiveness and e	fficiency										
	Enforce time limits on statements X X							Х		Х	
	Formalise time limits established at 10th NPT RevCon		Х								Х
Inefficient use of time at meetings of State Parties	Limit national statements to 5 minutes, group statements to 8 minutes		Χ								Χ
State Faitles	Additional time limits on general statements in MCs		Χ								Χ
	Allocate time to interactive, substantive, informal exchanges		Х		Х				Χ		
	MC to commence work during general debate		Х								Х
Repetitive State Parties statements	Alternative forms of input, such as written statements, video messages								Χ		
	Dedicate plenary sessions to cross-cutting issues such as transparency, reporting, education, capacity building, gender and diversity						Χ				
Mandate of subsidiary bodies unclear/ overlap with main committees	Mandate RevCon President to clarify function and scope of Subsidiary Bodies (SB)		Х								Х
	SBs as venue for in-depth discussion on particularly sensitive or complex issues relevant to MCs		Х								Х
	Reassess and revise role of SBs, mandates of MCs with view toward avoiding										
	duplications	Χ					Χ		Χ		
	Reassess divisions between "review" and "future-oriented" discussions in SBs								Χ		
	RevCon President to clarify forward-looking function of SBs		Χ								Χ
	MCs focus on past decisions / SBs focus on future recommendations						Χ				
Duplication of substantive debates between PrepComs / RevCon and	Establish clear substantive division of labour between MCs and SBs						Χ				
across MCs and subsidiary bodies	Abolish SBs			Χ							
,	MC Chair doubles as SB Chair; Vice Chairs assist MCs chairs									Χ	
	Replace MC pillar-by-pillar review with article-by-article review in plenary sessions						Χ				
	Structure RevCon discussions along working papers	Χ									
	Informally cluster PrepCom debates and organise interactive topical discussions on State Parties reports				Χ						
Unclear division of labour between DC and RevCon President	The President to delegate drafting functions to DC									Х	

		AT	CA	C7	DE	EG	IIC	IE, NZ	<u>СП</u>	EII	ELN	NPDI
	Commit PrepCom to consensus reports with recommendations to RevCon	AI	CA	- CZ	DL	LO	03	IL, INZ	, 611		LLIN	INFDI
Inability of PrepComs to agree on	Focus PrepCom on compiling, exchanging views, and assigning proposals to MCs and SBs for structured discussion						Х					
recommendations for Review Conference	Assign focal areas to PrepComs (including but not limited to NPT pillars)				Х		Χ					
	Put third (and fourth) PrepComs in position to respond to time-critical developments and produce recommendations for RevCon				Х							
PrepComs procedures not	Mandate PrepComs to work with incremental "Rolling Text(s)" with debates on sub-topics or cross-cutting issues advancing at different pace. Outcomes to be "agreed ad referendum" as partial inputs to RevCon draft final document(s)			Х	X	х				Х		
conducive to facilitate agreed outcomes	Rolling Text to set aside elements that could be considered agreed "ad referen- dum" with a view to focussing debates on resolution of remaining differences			Х	Х	Χ				Χ		
	Consider fourth PrepCom to resolve remaining differences				Х	Χ						
	Chair to reflect PrepComs proceedings in "Rolling Text", to be adopted under Chair's responsibility, or agreed by State Parties "ad referendum"											
	SRP WG Chair to lead structured discussion on measures of success other than single consensus outcome document		Χ									
High political hurdles to agreement on single consensus Final Documents at RevCon	Consider ways to salvage text not agreed by consensus, such as Chairperson's summary to delineate areas of consensus, and areas of persistent disagreement, and/or interpretative declarations in final documents				X							
bootherits at nevoon	Acknowledge positive achievements even in absence of a consensus outcome document. RevCon to adopt a series of agreed documents or decisions on specific topics			Х								



Problem	Proposed solutions	AT	CA	CZ	DE	EG	US	IE, NZ, CH	EU	ELN	NPDI
2. Transparency and	accountability										
	Dedicate time in PrepCom and RevCon meetings to discuss National Reports	Χ	Χ				Χ	Х			)
	Chairs to allocate time for structured discussion of nuclear weapon states reports under Cluster 1/ in MC1		Х					Х			
Irregular and uneven reporting on Article VI implementation	Establish unified reporting template "Standard Reporting Form"				Χ	Χ					
Article Vi implementation	Establish time extension limit to stimulate submissions of National Reports								Χ		
	Structure National Reports to have backward looking and forward looking sections, to detail future undertakings				Х						
	Create standard reporting form for National Reports					Χ			Χ		
	Create more extensive reporting format for nuclear weapon states (NWS)	Χ									
Wide divergence in quantity and quality of information submitted by NWS	Create standard for more detailed individual or joint presentations by NWS of National Reports, including facts on nuclear weapon and fissile material inventories, and implementation of nulear risk reduction measures							Х			
NWS	Establish peer-review mechanism for NWS national reports	Χ			Χ						
	PrepCom Chairs could provide Joint Report to RevCon to monitor Article VI implementation							Х			
	PrepCom Chairs to conduct Article VI consultations with all State Parties ahead of PrepComs							Х			
Unequal participation of states parties and international	Establish travel funds for smaller and less developed countries, to facilitate participation in NPT regional consultations						Χ				
organisations in NPT deliberations	Create needs-based financial assistance for states without diplomatic representation in PrepCom and RevCon locations								Х		
	Give CTBTO dedicated speaker's slot in General Debate								Χ		
	Include broad range of stakeholder in PrepComs				Χ						
	Enhance participation of civil society in NPT meetings		Χ								
	Permit civil society to submit thought papers to NPT meetings		Χ								
Lack of civil society representation	Invite civil society to give expert technical presentations to NPT meetings		Χ						Χ		
	RevCon President to lead institutionalised consultation process with civil society		Χ								
	Accord speaking slots to NGOs in NPT sessions	Χ									
	Grant NGOs access to SBs	Х									
Lack of civil society access	Leverage digital tools, enhance remote participation									Χ	
Lack of civil society access	Establish two-tier NGO accreditation process, including option of virtual (remote) accreditation									Х	
Lack of inclusivity	SRP WG to agree on importance of, and commit to equal, full and effective participation and leadership of women and men, to further integrate gender perspectives in all aspects of Treaty implementation				Х				. 2		
•	SPR WG to recognize civil society contribution to NPT processes and implementation and agree on recommendations				X					JE	LN

Problem	Proposed solutions	AT	CA	CZ	DE	EG	US	IE, NZ, CI	1 EU	ELN	NPDI
3. Coordination and c	ontinuity										
	Hold regional and topical workshops during the year prior to NPT meetings						Х				
Insufficient regional outreach	Continue regional outreach and Intersessional Work		Χ								
	Further develop regional approach, including through workshops and consultation meetings with participation of regional experts and diplomats								Х		
	Establish "Chair's Bureau" comprising immediate past, current, and future incumbents		Х		Х						
	Nominate PrepCom and RevCon Chairs at review cycle outset to enable early establishment of Bureau		Х		Χ						
	Elect PrepCom Chairs and RevCon President-Designate immediately after previous RevCon, etablish Chair's Bureau								Х		
Lack of personnel continuity during Review Cycle	Select PrepCom and RevCon leadership one year in advance						Χ				
	Nominate RevCon President and PrepCom Chairs within 3 months of previous RevCon, endorse nominations through an expedited silence procedure					Χ					
	If necessary, respective Governments can replace officials during review cycle					Χ					
	Supplement the Chair's Bureau with support staff to manage administrative matters of the review process		X								
	Create PrepCom Chair and RevCon president "team" with UNODA logistical and administrative assistance						Χ				
	Establish Treaty Support Unit to assist and facilitate NPT meetings and intersessional work and provide substantive, administrative, logistical and representative support	Х	Х		Х			Х			Х
	Create small, dedicated ISU				Χ						
Institutional deficit of NPT	Create small Treaty ISU, to assist NPT meetings and intersessional work, support Chairs								Х		
	Establish UNODA as permanent Secretariat for NPT Review Process						Χ				
	Formalise role of IAEA to provide staffing support for NPT Secretariat (for non-proliferation and peaceful uses issues)						Χ				
	Embed Bureau members in ISU				Χ						

Abbr	eviations				
AT	Austria	ELN	European Leadership Network	NPT	Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty
CA	Canada	EU	European Union	PrepCom	Preparatory Committee
СТВТО	Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organisation	IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency	RevCon	Review Conference
CZ	Czech Republic	IE, NZ, CH	Ireland, New Zealand, Switzerland	SB	Subsidiary Body
DC	Drafting Committee	ISU	Implementation Support Unit	SRP WG	Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty
DE	Germany	MC	Main Committee	UNODA	United Nations Office of Disarmament Affairs
EG	Egypt	NPDI	Non-Proliferation and Disarmament Initiative	US	United States of America



Bibliography		Code
Austrian Input, Working Group on Strengthening the Review Process of the NPT, (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WP_Austria - Working_Group_on_Strengthening_the_Review_Process_of_the_NPT.pdf	АТ
Working Paper submitted by Canada to the Working Group on Strengthening the Review Process for the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), (April 28 2023)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Canada_Working_PaperNPT_SRP_Working_Group_2023.pdf	CA
Working paper presented by the Czech Republic regarding the NPT working group on Strengthening the Review Process (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Working_paper_presented_by_the_Czech_Republic_regarding_the_NPT_working_group_on_Strengthening_the_Review_Process.pdf	CZ
Enhancing Coordination, Continuity and Efficiency.Working Paper submitted by the Federal Republic of Germany to the Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WGGermany.pdf	DE
Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Nonproliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) Working Paper Submitted by Egypt. (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Working_Paper_Egypt.pdf	EG
Working Group on Strengthening the NPT Review Process U.S. Working Paper Practical Steps to Improve the Process and Reinforce Best Practices. (2023)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/09WGUSA.pdf	US
Working Paper submitted to the Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the NPT Transparency and Improved Monitoring of the Implementation of Article VI. By Ireland, New Zealand, Switzerland. (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_ group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WP_Ireland,_New_Zealand_and_Switzer- landTransparency_and_Accountability.pdf	IE, NZ, CH
A STRONGER REVIEW PROCESS FOR A STRONGER NPT. European Union proposals for the Working Group on strengthening the NPT review process and ahead of the First Preparatory Committee of the 11th NPT Review Conference	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/A_stronger_review_process_for_a_stronger_NPT.pdf	EU
Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. Working paper submitted by the members of the Non-Proliferation and Disarmament Initiative. (Australia, Canada, Chile, Germany, Japan, Mexico, Netherlands, Nigeria, Philippines, Poland, Türkiye, and United Arab Emirates). (not dated)	https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_WeaponsWorking_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/10WGNPDI.pdf	NPDI
VCDNP	https://vcdnp.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/NPT-SRP-paper-full-with-covers.pdf	VCDNP
Robert Einhorn, The 2020 NPT Review Conference, Prepare for Plan B. (not dated)	https://unidir.org/sites/default/files/2020-02/The%202020%20NPT%20Review%20Conference%20-%20Prepare%20for%20Plan%20B.pdf	Einhorn
Jayantha Dhanapala and Tariq Rauf, Reflections on the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons; Review Conferences and the future of the NPT. (2019)	https://www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/2019-10/reflections-on-treaty-on-non-proliferation-of-nucle-ar-weapons.pdf	Dhanapala
Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons — Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process, Meeting Documents.	https://meetings.unoda.org/meeting/67666/documents	

This is part of the ELN's project Protecting the Non-Proliferation Treaty. The project seeks to preserve the multilateral nuclear non-proliferation regime and prevent further erosion of the nuclear taboo and non-proliferation treaty (NPT). Bringing together an intergenerational, pan-regional Network of experts, it works to identify pathways to success in the eleventh review cycle, taking a holistic approach to the NPT and its three pillars.

