

| | | AT | CA | CZ | DE | EG | US | IE, NZ, CH | EU | ELN | NPDI |
|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|------|
| Inability of PrepComs to agree on recommendations for Review Conference | Commit PrepCom to consensus reports with recommendations to RevCon | | | | | | | | X | | |
| | Focus PrepCom on compiling, exchanging views, and assigning proposals to MCs and SBs for structured discussion | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Assign focal areas to PrepComs (including but not limited to NPT pillars) | | | | X | | X | | | | |
| | Put third (and fourth) PrepComs in position to respond to time-critical developments and produce recommendations for RevCon | | | | X | | | | | | |
| PrepComs procedures not conducive to facilitate agreed outcomes | Mandate PrepComs to work with incremental "Rolling Text(s)" with debates on sub-topics or cross-cutting issues advancing at different pace. Outcomes to be "agreed ad referendum" as partial inputs to RevCon draft final document(s) | | | X | X | X | | | X | | |
| | Rolling Text to set aside elements that could be considered agreed "ad referendum" with a view to focussing debates on resolution of remaining differences | | | X | X | X | | | X | | |
| | Consider fourth PrepCom to resolve remaining differences | | | | X | X | | | | | |
| | Chair to reflect PrepComs proceedings in "Rolling Text", to be adopted under Chair's responsibility, or agreed by State Parties "ad referendum" | | | | | | | | | | |
| High political hurdles to agreement on single consensus Final Documents at RevCon | SRP WG Chair to lead structured discussion on measures of success other than single consensus outcome document | | X | | | | | | | | |
| | Consider ways to salvage text not agreed by consensus, such as Chairperson's summary to delineate areas of consensus, and areas of persistent disagreement, and/or interpretative declarations in final documents | | | | X | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledge positive achievements even in absence of a consensus outcome document. RevCon to adopt a series of agreed documents or decisions on specific topics | | | X | | | | | | | |

2. Transparency and accountability

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Irregular and uneven reporting on Article VI implementation | Dedicate time in PrepCom and RevCon meetings to discuss National Reports | X | X | | | X | X | |
| | Chairs to allocate time for structured discussion of nuclear weapon states reports under Cluster 1/ in MC1 | | X | | | | X | |
| | Establish unified reporting template "Standard Reporting Form" | | | | X | X | | |
| | Establish time extension limit to stimulate submissions of National Reports | | | | | | | X |
| | Structure National Reports to have backward looking and forward looking sections, to detail future undertakings | | | | X | | | |
| Wide divergence in quantity and quality of information submitted by NWS | Create standard reporting form for National Reports | | | | | X | | X |
| | Create more extensive reporting format for nuclear weapon states (NWS) | X | | | | | | |
| | Create standard for more detailed individual or joint presentations by NWS of National Reports, including facts on nuclear weapon and fissile material inventories, and implementation of nuclear risk reduction measures | | | | | | | X |
| | Establish peer-review mechanism for NWS national reports | X | | | X | | | |
| | PrepCom Chairs could provide Joint Report to RevCon to monitor Article VI implementation | | | | | | | X |
| Unequal participation of states parties and international organisations in NPT deliberations | PrepCom Chairs to conduct Article VI consultations with all State Parties ahead of PrepComs | | | | | | | X |
| | Establish travel funds for smaller and less developed countries, to facilitate participation in NPT regional consultations | | | | | | X | |
| | Create needs-based financial assistance for states without diplomatic representation in PrepCom and RevCon locations | | | | | | | X |
| | Give CTBTO dedicated speaker's slot in General Debate | | | | | | | X |
| Lack of civil society representation | Include broad range of stakeholder in PrepComs | | | | | X | | |
| | Enhance participation of civil society in NPT meetings | | | | | X | | |
| | Permit civil society to submit thought papers to NPT meetings | | | | | X | | |
| | Invite civil society to give expert technical presentations to NPT meetings | | | | | X | | X |
| | RevCon President to lead institutionalised consultation process with civil society | | | | | X | | |
| Accord speaking slots to NGOs in NPT sessions | X | | | | | | | |
| Lack of civil society access | Grant NGOs access to SBs | X | | | | | | |
| | Leverage digital tools, enhance remote participation | | | | | | | X |
| | Establish two-tier NGO accreditation process, including option of virtual (remote) accreditation | | | | | | | X |
| Lack of inclusivity | SRP WG to agree on importance of, and commit to equal, full and effective participation and leadership of women and men, to further integrate gender perspectives in all aspects of Treaty implementation | | | | | X | | |
| | SPR WG to recognize civil society contribution to NPT processes and implementation and agree on recommendations | | | | | X | | |

| Problem | Proposed solutions | AT | CA | CZ | DE | EG | US | IE, NZ, CH | EU | ELN | NPDI |
|--|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|------------|----|-----|------|
| 3. Coordination and continuity | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Insufficient regional outreach | Hold regional and topical workshops during the year prior to NPT meetings | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Continue regional outreach and Intersessional Work | | X | | | | | | | | |
| | Further develop regional approach, including through workshops and consultation meetings with participation of regional experts and diplomats | | | | | | | | | X | |
| Lack of personnel continuity during Review Cycle | Establish "Chair's Bureau" comprising immediate past, current, and future incumbents | | X | | X | | | | | | |
| | Nominate PrepCom and RevCon Chairs at review cycle outset to enable early establishment of Bureau | | X | | X | | | | | | |
| | Elect PrepCom Chairs and RevCon President-Designate immediately after previous RevCon, establish Chair's Bureau | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | Select PrepCom and RevCon leadership one year in advance | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Nominate RevCon President and PrepCom Chairs within 3 months of previous RevCon, endorse nominations through an expedited silence procedure | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | If necessary, respective Governments can replace officials during review cycle | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Supplement the Chair's Bureau with support staff to manage administrative matters of the review process | | X | | | | | | | | |
| | Create PrepCom Chair and RevCon president "team" with UNODA logistical and administrative assistance | | | | | | | X | | | |
| Institutional deficit of NPT | Establish Treaty Support Unit to assist and facilitate NPT meetings and intersessional work and provide substantive, administrative, logistical and representative support | X | X | | X | | | | X | | X |
| | Create small, dedicated ISU | | | | | X | | | | | |
| | Create small Treaty ISU, to assist NPT meetings and intersessional work, support Chairs | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | Establish UNODA as permanent Secretariat for NPT Review Process | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Formalise role of IAEA to provide staffing support for NPT Secretariat (for non-proliferation and peaceful uses issues) | | | | | | X | | | | |
| | Embed Bureau members in ISU | | | | | X | | | | | |

Abbreviations

| | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------|--|----------------|---|
| AT | Austria | ELN | European Leadership Network | NPT | Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty |
| CA | Canada | EU | European Union | PrepCom | Preparatory Committee |
| CTBTO | Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organisation | IAEA | International Atomic Energy Agency | RevCon | Review Conference |
| CZ | Czech Republic | IE, NZ, CH | Ireland, New Zealand, Switzerland | SB | Subsidiary Body |
| DC | Drafting Committee | ISU | Implementation Support Unit | SRP WG | Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty |
| DE | Germany | MC | Main Committee | UNODA | United Nations Office of Disarmament Affairs |
| EG | Egypt | NPDI | Non-Proliferation and Disarmament Initiative | US | United States of America |



Bibliography

Code

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| Austrian Input, Working Group on Strengthening the Review Process of the NPT, (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WP_Austria_-_Working_Group_on_Strengthening_the_Review_Process_of_the_NPT.pdf | AT |
| Working Paper submitted by Canada to the Working Group on Strengthening the Review Process for the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), (April 28 2023) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Canada_Working_Paper_-_NPT_SRP_Working_Group_2023.pdf | CA |
| Working paper presented by the Czech Republic regarding the NPT working group on Strengthening the Review Process (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Working_paper_presented_by_the_Czech_Republic_regarding_the_NPT_working_group_on_Strengthening_the_Review_Process.pdf | CZ |
| Enhancing Coordination, Continuity and Efficiency. Working Paper submitted by the Federal Republic of Germany to the Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WG_-_Germany.pdf | DE |
| Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Nonproliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) Working Paper Submitted by Egypt. (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/Working_Paper_Egypt.pdf | EG |
| Working Group on Strengthening the NPT Review Process U.S. Working Paper Practical Steps to Improve the Process and Reinforce Best Practices. (2023) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/09_WG-USA.pdf | US |
| Working Paper submitted to the Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process of the NPT Transparency and Improved Monitoring of the Implementation of Article VI. By Ireland, New Zealand, Switzerland. (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/WP_Ireland_New_Zealand_and_Switzerland_-_Transparency_and_Accountability.pdf | IE, NZ, CH |
| A STRONGER REVIEW PROCESS FOR A STRONGER NPT. European Union proposals for the Working Group on strengthening the NPT review process and ahead of the First Preparatory Committee of the 11th NPT Review Conference | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/A_stronger_review_process_for_a_stronger_NPT.pdf | EU |
| Strengthening the Review Process of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. Working paper submitted by the members of the Non-Proliferation and Disarmament Initiative. (Australia, Canada, Chile, Germany, Japan, Mexico, Netherlands, Nigeria, Philippines, Poland, Türkiye, and United Arab Emirates). (not dated) | https://docs-library.unoda.org/Treaty_on_the_Non-Proliferation_of_Nuclear_Weapons_-Working_group_on_further_strengthening_the_review_process_(2023)/10_WG-NPDI.pdf | NPDI |
| VCDNP | https://vcdnp.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/NPT-SRP-paper-full-with-covers.pdf | VCDNP |
| Robert Einhorn, The 2020 NPT Review Conference, Prepare for Plan B. (not dated) | https://unidir.org/sites/default/files/2020-02/The%202020%20NPT%20Review%20Conference%20-%20Prepare%20for%20Plan%20B.pdf | Einhorn |
| Jayantha Dhanapala and Tariq Rauf, Reflections on the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons; Review Conferences and the future of the NPT. (2019) | https://www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/2019-10/reflections-on-treaty-on-non-proliferation-of-nuclear-weapons.pdf | Dhanapala |
| Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons – Working Group on Further Strengthening the Review Process, Meeting Documents. | https://meetings.unoda.org/meeting/67666/documents | |

By Dr Olamide Samuel

This is part of the ELN's project [Protecting the Non-Proliferation Treaty](#). The project seeks to preserve the multilateral nuclear non-proliferation regime and prevent further erosion of the nuclear taboo and non-proliferation treaty (NPT). Bringing together an intergenerational, pan-regional Network of experts, it works to identify pathways to success in the eleventh review cycle, taking a holistic approach to the NPT and its three pillars.

For more information on the project please go to www.europeanleadershipnetwork.org/protecting-the-non-proliferation-treaty.

